Comparative Studies on Serum Lysozyme Activities of Common Carp (Cyprinus carpio), Pikeperch (Sander lucioperca), Prussian Carp (Carassius gibelio) and Crayfish (Astacus leptodactylus)
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ABSTRACT

In this study, serum lysozyme activity was studied by the agar well diffusion assay in various species of fish (common carp, Cyprinus carpio, pikeperch, Sander lucioperca, prussian carp, Carassius gibelio) and crayfish (Astacus leptodactylus) obtained from three different regions in spring and autumn in 2013. The highest levels of lysozyme activity were found in pikeperch fish, followed by common carp, prussian carp, and crayfish, respectively. There was no significant difference between common carp, prussian carp, and crayfish, but it was found a significant difference between pikeperch fish and the other species (p<0.05). There was a significant difference of serum lysozyme levels between spring and autumn in common carp, prussian carp and crayfish (p<0.05). There was no significant difference between seasons in pikeperch fish. These results showed different of the lysozyme activity in the fish innate immune system in the aquatic ecosystem of different species.
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SAZAN (Cyprinus carpio), SUDAK (Sander lucioperca), GÜMÜŞİ HAVUZ BALIŞI (Carassius gibelio) VE KEREVİT (Astacus leptodactylus) ÖRNEKLERİNDE SERUM LIZOZİM AKTIVİTLERİNİN KARŞILAŞトルMASI

ÖZ: Bu çalışmada, ilkbahar ve sonbahar dönemlerinde üç farklı bölgeden edilen sazan (Cyprinus carpio), sudak (Sander lucioperca), gümüşi havuz balığı (Carassius gibelio) ve kerevit (Astacus leptodactylus) örneklerinde difüzyon agar metodu kullanılarak serum lizozim aktiviteleri incelenmiştir. Lizozim aktivitesi en yüksek seviyede sudak balıklarında, ardından sırayla sazan, gümüşi havuz balığı ve kerevit örneklerinde bulunmuştur. Sazan, gümüşi havuz balığı ve kerevit arasında anlamlı bir fark bulunmazken sudak balıkları ve diğer türler arasında anlamlı bir farklılık olduğu belirtenmiştir (p<0,05). Sazan, gümüşi havuz balığı ve kerevители ilkbahar ve sonbahar dönemleri arasında serum lizozim düzeylerinde fark anlamılı bulunmamış (p>0,05), sudak balıklarında mevsimler arasındaki fark anlamılı bulunmamıştır. Bu sonuçlar, suçluk ekosistemindeki farklı türlerin doğuştan gelişmiş bağımsız sistemlerdeki lizozim aktivitesinin farklı olduğu göstermiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Doğal bağımsızlık, stres, mevsimsel değişim, difüzyon agar metodu, su ürünleri

INTRODUCTION

Fish and crayfish are interaction with a wide range of pathogenic and non-pathogenic microorganisms in the aquatic environment and thus have complex defense mechanisms for their survival. There is specific and innate immune responses in fish. The innate immune system is considered to be the first line of defense against pathogens in fish and is more important for fish as compared with mammals. Lysozyme is an important part of the nonspecific immune response and is commonly found in invertebrates and vertebrates (Magnadottir et al. 2005; Bowden 2008; Cerenius and Söderhäll 2018). It is known that leukocytes secrete lysozyme in fish (Murray and Fletcher 1976). The kidney has the highest lysozyme activity in fish. Lysozyme level or activity is an important index of innate immunity of fish, due to the high concentration of these leukocytes in the anterior hematopoietic portion of the kidney (Saurabh and Sahoo 2008). Lysozyme is
an important bacteriolytic agent found in a variety of freshwater and marine fish species (Lie et al. 1989; Balfry and Iwama 2004). Lysozyme has been described in invertebrates as a component of the innate immune system, functioning as an antibacterial protein (Jollès and Jollès 1984; Sotelo-Mundo et al. 2003). Lysozyme is commonly included in the family of the antibacterial peptides based on its small molecular weight and its bacteriolytic effect (Hoffmann and Hoffmann 1990; Boman 1991). It is also known to be opsonic in nature and activates the complement system and phagocytes. It has been found in mucus, lymphoid tissue serum, other body fluids, and ova of fish (Bowden 2008). Lysozyme has also been detected in many other fish tissues such as spleen, liver, skin, mucus, gills, muscle, ovary and eggs (Takahashi et al. 1986; Lie et al. 1989; Yousif et al. 1991; Takemura and Takano 1995; Karaarslan et al. 2007). Lysozyme isolated from fish is effective as a bacteriolytic agent against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative fish pathogens (Grinde 1989; Yousif et al. 1994). Lysozyme is therefore an important factor in protecting fish against bacterial pathogens, due to its antibacterial properties and because it is located in areas that are in frequent contact with pathogens (i.e. kidney and skin mucus) (Balfry and Iwama 2004).

Lysozyme activity has been shown to vary depending on the sex, state of health, age and size, season, water temperature, pH, toxicants, infections and degree of stressors (Fletcher and White 1973; Fletcher et al. 1977; Möck and Peters 1990; Saurabh and Sahoo 2008; Bulut and Kubilay 2010; Bulut et al. 2012). The genetic variation of lysozyme has also been established (Grinde et al. 1988; Rød et al. 1993; Lund et al. 1995; Balfry et al. 1997) and research into breeding selection programs are being developed that utilize lysozyme activity measurements as selection criteria (Fevolden et al. 1991, 1992, 2002; Fevolden and Rød 1993; Rød et al. 2003; Balfry and Iwama 2004).

The immune response is very sensitive to stress and temperature variations. Hence, both activating and suppressive processes have been described following stress episodes, although the majority of changes often result in deleterious effects. Immediate responses during the activation phase enhance innate humoral immunity such as increased levels of lysozyme and C3 proteins after acute stress (Lie et al. 1989; Sunyer and Tort 1995; Demers and Bayne 1997; Kubilay and Ulukoy 2002; Tort et al. 2004; Tort 2011).

In the present study, it was investigated for serum lysozyme levels in common carp (Cyprinus carpio), pikeperch (Sander lucioperca), prussian carp (Carassius gibelio) and crayfish (Astacus leptodactylus) over a spring/autumn period for seasonal variation.

Materials and Methods

Field Sampling of Fish

Common carp, prussian carp, pikeperch fish, and crayfish used in this study, were obtained from Egirdir Lake in Turkey. Egirdir Lake is the second largest lake in Turkey. The surface area of the lake is approximately 480 km². The lake is still drinking water sources. In the study Hoyran, Gelendost and Kopru regions were selected as the sampling regions (Hoyran region (38°09'10.64"N-30°45'44.47"E; Gelendost region 37°59'43.82"N-30°49'10.48"E) and Kopru region (37°51'41.52"N-30°51'02.18"E) (Figure 1).

Fish and crayfish samples were obtained in spring and autumn 2013. In the spring and autumn period, 60 fish and 20 crayfish samples were collected in total, 10 from each region. Fish and crayfish samples were caught by nets and pinters. The length and weights of the fish obtained for analysis are measured. The length, weight, carapace length, and length of the crayfish samples are also noted.

Figure 1. Sampling field of fish and crayfish in Egirdir Lake

Blood collection

Briefly, fishes and crayfish were anaesthetized using 2-phenoxyethanol. The blood samples were collected by sterile plastic injector from the caudal blood vessels was allowed to clot at room
temperature for 2 h, then centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 min. The serum was removed, aliquoted, and frozen at -20 °C until required (Figure 2).

**Figure 2. Blood taking from the fish and crayfish**

**Lysozyme Activity**
Lysozyme activity was determined according to Lie et al. 1989 using a lysoplate assay. Petri dishes containing 1% agarose in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) pH 6.2 containing 0.60 mg ml⁻¹ lyophilize *Micrococcus lysodeicticus* (Sigma M 3770) were prepared. Wells of 5 mm diameter were made in the agarose, filled with 25 μl of fish serum, incubated at 25 °C and the diameter of the lytic zones was measured 24 h after incubation. The measurements were triplicated (Figure 3). Hen egg white lysozyme (HEWL; Merck EC 3.2.1.27) served as the standard. Sample activity was compared with a calibration curve prepared with chicken egg white lysozyme and activity of serum was calculated based on the activity of commercial HEWL by use of anon-linear regression model, where the area of the lytic zones was in the ordinate and micrograms of HEWL were in Figure 4. Lysozyme activity was measured as a concentration of hen egg-white lysozyme equivalent in mg ml⁻¹ (Grinde 1989; Ellis 1996).

**Statistical analysis**
The statistics of the data obtained in the experiments were evaluated using the SPSS package program and Microsoft Excel 2016. Variance analysis (ANOVA) was applied to all the data and the differences between the group averages were determined according to the Duncan test and multiple comparison test and the significance level was p<0.05.

**Results**
Size of the fish and the crayfish sample-weight distribution obtained in this study, for common carp fish samples, fork lengths 17.00-66.20 cm (mean: 33.19±2.9) and the weights were 109-5471 g (mean: 1315±2.99) has been distributed between. For pikeperch fish samples, fork lengths 16.70-44.60 cm (mean: 30.67±0.97) and the weights 103-898 g (mean: 337±29) has been distributed between. For prussian carp fish samples, fork lengths 16.00-43.40 cm (mean: 429±18) has been distributed between. For crayfish samples, fork lengths 7.20 to 14.60 cm (mean: 11.79±0.19) and the weights 10 to 99 g (mean: 48±2) has been distributed between.

The values of common carp, pikeperch, prussian carp, and crayfish lysozyme activity were given in Table 2. The highest lysozyme
activity was detected in pikeperch (0.944 mg ml\(^{-1}\)). It was followed by common carp (0.256 mg ml\(^{-1}\)), prussian carp (0.236 mg ml\(^{-1}\)) and crayfish (0.227 mg ml\(^{-1}\)), respectively (Figure 5).

Figure 3. HEWL standard used in lysozyme activity

Figure 4. Lysozyme diameters of the common carp, pikeperch and crayfish
The levels of common carp, prussian carp, and crayfish lysozyme activity were determined in close proximity. The lysozyme activity of pikeperch was found to be quite high compared to other species. This suggests that pikeperch is more sensitive than these species. These results indicate that the pikeperch is more susceptible to stress factors in the aquatic ecosystem.

Serum lysozyme was taken in spring and autumn to see the changes in fish and crayfish under environmental conditions.

Statistical analyzes performed on samples in common carp, statistically significant difference between seasons, as it was observed that there was found to be a statistically significant difference between regions (p<0.05). In the pikeperch samples, the difference between regions and seasons was not statistically significant (p<0.05). In the prussian carp and crayfish samples, the difference between the regions was not statistically significant and the difference between the seasons was statistically significant (p<0.05) (Table 1).

The data for the two seasonal samples gave a mean serum lysozyme level of for the sample taken in spring and autumn. Statistical analysis of these two sample sets showed a highly significant difference (p<0.05).

Discussion

Fish have functions that can be adapted to survive in aquatic environments (Ingram 1980). Researchers have examined samples of serum, plasma, lymph, kidney, spleen, stomach, gill, gastrointestinal tract, and other organs or tissues in many fish species (Fletcher and White 1973).

Fast et al. 2002; lysosomes have observed differences in enzyme levels between seawater and freshwater-raised fish species, as well as finding a reverse variation in lysozyme-specific activity in rainbow trout, koho salmon and Atlantic salmon. The same researchers have reported that high lysosomal activities in seawater species used in the study may be related to adaptation to species-specific for different environmental conditions and that variations in lysozyme activity are also activities thought to depend on the thickness of the epidermis and the number of mucus cells.

Although many related fish species have been studied for the existence of lysozyme, little is known about the species of hunting fish studied in this study. A significant variation observed in the specific activities of these hydrolytic enzymes between all fish species examined and species of fish within the same family (such as Cyprinidae) appears to differ in terms of prey to animals.

Pickering (1974), Spitzer and Koch (1998); based on their previous reports, they reported that hagfish had a thicker epidermis (95-125 μm) than Arctic char (75.7±10.2 μm) and brook trout (71.0±6.8 μm). Also, researchers have reported that hagfish produces mucus in abundance compared to other species studied, suggesting that the hypothesis about epidermal thickness and enzyme activity might be partially valid for hagfish. Balfry and Iwama (2004), reported that changes in lysozyme activity may also be related to a variety of factors such as stress, maturity, diet, gender, species variation, and responses to addressing genetic diversity.

This study showed significant variation in the relative levels of lysozyme between pikeperch and cyprinid species. A significant observation from our study was the higher level of lysozyme in pikeperch. Therefore, levels of lysozyme activity were similarly determined by common carp, prussian carp, and crayfish. The lysozyme activity of pikeperch was found to be quite high compared to other species.
Lysozyme activity in the pikeperch was at least 4 times greater than cyprinid species. This suggests that pikeperch is more sensitive than these species. These results indicate that the pikeperch is more susceptible to stress factors in the aquatic ecosystem.

Subramanian et al. (2007), reported that they found higher levels of lysozyme in the epidermal cortex (Myxine glutinosa) of the hagfish, in which case the components in the epidermal component of the hagfish were produced at higher levels. Researchers reported that in the same study, the absence of an advanced immune system could replace the presence of six other high teleosts that the researchers performed. Spitzer and Koch (1998), found that hagfish lives in muddy environments and secretes mucus in abundant quantities. Edwards and Twomey (1982), reported that survival in such an environment may require high levels of these natural immunity factors. Furthermore, changes in trypsin-like protease deficiency, such as Havana fish, live koi carp in muddy habitats, koi carp mucus enzyme levels such as high cathepsin B, and other teleosts, have reported that these species suggest genetic adaptation to various environmental conditions.

Pankhurst (2011), reported that there are limited and very few studies on the stress and the resulting physiological and endocrine effects of fish living in a natural environment with sampling and potential difficulties. However, factors affecting stress in the environmental milieu are gender and maturity, time, nutrition, season, and vital cycle; and that birds living in environmental environments are also causing stress on fish. When we compare the findings with other studies, it is concluded that fishes living on Eğirdir Lake are exposed to less stress than fish living in aquaculture conditions.

Subramanian et al. (2007), reported that they observed a wide variation in enzyme activity among the seven species. The researchers found that only marine fish showed about two times more lysozyme activity than freshwater fish species and that lysozyme activity varied markedly with salinity.

Røed et al. (1993), reported that lysozyme activity varies depending on the species of aquatic environment.
organisms, health status, stress, sex, season, temperature, and gender maturity. Lie et al. (1989), found that lysosomal activity results in thirteen different species with different outcomes between species, which in turn resulted in the genetic makeup of living things. Fevolden et al. (1999) reported that the level of lysozyme decreased with increasing acute stress predominant over time, while the level of lysozyme declined with longer survival or chronic stratification. Karaarslan et al. (2007), examined the activity of rainbow trout in kidney, spleen, liver, a fertilized egg, and blood serum at different stages in their study. In rainbow trout, lysozyme activity was determined as kidney, liver, blood serum, and spleen, respectively.

Cnaani et al. (2004), Oreochromis aureus, and Oreochromis mossambicus reported that glucose concentration and lysozyme activity received different responses to stress.

A full characterization of the kinetics of change of lysozyme activity as a component will require further research. There is little analysis of lysozyme activity related to fish species living in the natural environment. Further studies focusing on the factors that influence the production of these innate immune and stress components will provide a better understanding of their roles and the immune system of evolutionarily diverse fish on the aquatic ecosystem.
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