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#### Abstract

In this study, we consider an inverse problem of determining an unknown source function in the right-hand side of an elliptic equation which is ill-posed in the Hadamard sense. To investigate the solvability of the problem, we reduce it to a Dirichlet problem for a third-order partial differential equation with homogeneous boundary condition. Since the problem is linear, the proof of the uniqueness theorem is based on the Fredholm Alternative Theorem. We prove the existence of the solution to the problem by using the Galerkin method.
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## Introduction

Elliptic equations are used to describe the behavior of electromagnetic fields, the propagation of waves in a medium and the motion of fluids. They appear in a wide range of applications in various fields of science and technology such as physics, engineering and computer science.

In this paper, we consider the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
P u & \equiv a(x, \bar{y})\left(u_{x x}+\Delta_{y} u\right)+k u_{x}-b(x, \bar{y}) u \\
& =c(x, \bar{y}) f(x, \bar{y}) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

in the domain
$Q=\left\{(x, y) \mid x \in(0,1), y_{i} \in(0,1), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$
where $y=\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right), \bar{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)$. The boundary of the domain is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial Q=\sigma_{0} \cup\left\{y_{n}=0\right\} \cup\left\{y_{n}=1\right\}, \\
& \sigma_{0}=\partial Q /\left(\left\{y_{n}=0\right\} \cup\left\{y_{n}=1\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients of equation (1) satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(x, \bar{y}) \in C^{1}(\bar{Q}), \quad b(x, \bar{y}), \quad c(x, \bar{y}) \in C(\bar{Q}), \\
a(x, \bar{y}), b(x, \bar{y}), a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})>0, k<0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

We consider the following problem:
Problem 1. Find the functions $u(x, y)$ and $f(x, \bar{y})$ from equation (1), provided that the following conditions are given:
$\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{n}}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=u_{0},\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{n}}\right|_{y_{n}=1}=u_{1},\left.u\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=u_{2}$,
$\left.u\right|_{y_{n}=0}=u_{3}$.

A problem is said to be well posed if a unique solution exists which depends continuously on the data. Problem 1 is not a well posed problem. Some of the typical ill-posed problems for partial differential equations are the Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation, the Dirichlet problem for the wave equation and the initial-boundary value problem for the backward heat equation, [1].

The existence, uniqueness and stability of solution of various inverse problems for elliptic, hyperbolic and parabolic equations are studied in [2-5]. As for the solvability results for some ill-posed problems for other type equations, we refer to [6-10].
The first result of this paper is given below:
Theorem 1. Problem 1 has at most one solution ( $u, f$ ) such that $u \in H^{3}(Q), f \in H^{1}(Q)$.
In the proof, we shall use the Fredholm Alternative Theorem and show that the homogeneous problem has only zero solution.

## Proof of Theorem 1.

It is sufficient to prove for $u \in C^{k}(\bar{Q})$, since $C^{k}(\bar{Q})$ is dense in $H^{k}(Q)$. Let us assume that $\left(u^{(1)}, f^{(1)}\right)$, $\left(u^{(2)}, f^{(2)}\right)$ are two solutions of problem (1)-(3) in the space $C^{3}(\bar{Q}) \times C^{1}(\bar{Q})$.

Then we can write
$a(x, \bar{y})\left(u_{x x}{ }^{(i)}+\Delta_{y} u^{(i)}\right)+k u_{x}{ }^{(i)}-b(x, \bar{y}) u^{(i)}=c(x, \bar{y}) f^{(i)}(x, \bar{y})$,
$\left.u_{y_{n}}^{(i)}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=u_{0},\left.u_{y_{n}}^{(i)}\right|_{y_{n}=1}=u_{1},\left.u^{(i)}\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=u_{2}$,
$\left.u^{(i)}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=u_{3}, i=1,2$.
For $\tilde{u}=u^{(2)}-u^{(1)}, \tilde{f}=f^{(2)}-f^{(1)}$, we have
$a(x, \bar{y})\left(\tilde{u}_{x x}+\Delta_{y} \tilde{u}\right)+k \tilde{u}_{x}-b(x, \bar{y}) \tilde{u}=c(x, \bar{y}) \tilde{f}(x, \bar{y})$
$\left.\tilde{u}_{y_{n}}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0,\left.\tilde{u}_{y_{n}}\right|_{y_{n}=1}=0,\left.\tilde{u}\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=0$,
$\left.\tilde{u}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0, i=1,2$.
Taking the derivative of both sides of equation (7) with respect to $y_{n}$ yields to
$P \tilde{u}_{y_{n}}=0$
and taking $\tilde{u}_{y_{n}}=\hat{u}$, we obtain
$P \hat{u}=0$,
$\left.\hat{u}_{y_{n}}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0,\left.\hat{u}_{y_{n}}\right|_{y_{n}=1}=0,\left.\hat{u}\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=0$.
Dividing both sides of equation (11) by $a(x, \bar{y})$, we get
$\hat{u}_{x x}+\Delta_{y} \hat{u}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} \hat{u}_{x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} \hat{u}=0$,
$\left.\hat{u}\right|_{\partial Q}=0$.
Multiplying equation (13) by $-\hat{u}$ and using the equalities
$-\hat{u}_{x x} \hat{u}=-\left(\hat{u}_{x} \hat{u}\right)_{x}+\hat{u}_{x}^{2}$,
$-\hat{u}_{y_{i} y_{i}} \hat{u}=-\left(\hat{u}_{y_{i}} \hat{u}\right)_{y_{i}}+\hat{u}_{y_{i}}^{2}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$
$-\widehat{u} \frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} \hat{u}_{x}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} \hat{u}^{2}\right)_{x}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})} \hat{u}^{2}$,
we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\hat{u} P \hat{u}= & \hat{u}_{x}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) \hat{u}^{2} \\
& -\left(\hat{u}_{x} \hat{u}\right)_{x}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{u}_{y_{i}} \hat{u}\right)_{y_{i}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} \hat{u}^{2}\right)_{x} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a(x, \bar{y}), b(x, \bar{y}), \quad a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})>0$ and $k<0$, we have
$\hat{u}_{x}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) \hat{u}^{2}>0$.
If we integrate equality (15) over $Q$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}\left[\left(\hat{u}_{x} \hat{u}\right)_{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{u}_{y_{i}} \hat{u}\right)_{y_{i}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} \hat{u}^{2}\right)_{x}\right] d Q=\int_{Q}\left(\hat{u}_{x}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) \hat{u}^{2}\right) d Q . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Ostrogradsky formula, we can write
$\int_{Q}\left(\hat{u}_{x}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) \hat{u}^{2}\right) d Q=\int_{Q}\left\{\left[\left(\hat{u}_{x} \hat{u}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} \hat{u}^{2}\right)\right] n_{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{u}_{y_{i}} \hat{u}\right) n_{y_{i}}\right\} d S$.
By $a(x, \bar{y}), b(x, \bar{y}), a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})>0, k<0$ and $\left.\hat{u}\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=0$, we obtain
$\int_{Q}\left(\hat{u}_{x}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) \hat{u}^{2}\right) d Q=0$
which means that
$\hat{u}_{x}=0, \hat{u}_{y_{i}}=0$ and $\left.\hat{u}\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=0$ and thus $\hat{u}=0$ in the domain $Q$. Moreover, $\tilde{u}=0$ in $Q$ from the equality $\tilde{u}_{y_{n}}=\hat{u}$ and condition (9). On the other hand, we see that $\tilde{f}=0$ in $Q$ from equation (7). Thus, $\tilde{u}=u^{(2)}-u^{(1)}=0$ and $\tilde{f}=f^{(2)}-$ $f^{(1)}=0$ where imply $u^{(1)}=u^{(2)}$ and $f^{(1)}=f^{(2)}$. Therefore, Theorem 1 is proven.
In order to prove the existence of the solution of the problem, we reduce the problem to a homogeneous Dirichlet problem.
Taking the derivative of both sides of equation (1) with respect to $y_{n}$, we see that
$P u_{y_{n}}=0$.
In conditions (2), if we take $u_{y_{n}}=\hat{u}$, we can write
$P \hat{u}=0$,
$\left.\hat{u}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=u_{0},\left.\hat{u}\right|_{y_{n}=1}=u_{1},\left.\hat{u}\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=u_{2 y_{n}}$.
Conditions (20) can be written in the form
$\left.\hat{u}\right|_{\partial Q}=\tilde{u}_{0}$.
Assume that the functions $u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}$ are smooth enough on the boundary of the domain and $w \in C^{2}(\bar{Q}),\left.w\right|_{\partial Q}=\tilde{u}_{0}$.

With the help of the new unknown function $v=\hat{u}-w$, we have
$-w_{x x}-\Delta_{y} w-\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} w_{x}+\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} w=F(x, \bar{y})$
and problem (19)-(21) becomes
$P v \equiv v_{x x}+\Delta_{y} v+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} v_{x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} v=F(x, \bar{y})$,
$\left.v\right|_{\partial Q}=0$.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\partial Q \in C^{2}(\bar{Q})$ and $F \in L^{2}(Q)$. Then problem (22)-(23) has a generalized solution in the Hilbert space $H_{0}^{1}(Q)$.

## Proof of Theorem 2.

We first take an a priori estimate for the solution of problem (22)-(23). If we multiply (22) by $-v$, integrate over the domain $Q$ and using the equalities (15)-(16), we obtain
$\int_{Q}\left(v_{x}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) v^{2}\right) d Q=-\int_{Q} F v d Q$.
From the Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality, we get
$-\int_{Q} F v d Q \leq \int_{Q}\left[\beta F \frac{1}{\beta} v\right\rceil d Q \leq \int_{Q} \beta^{2} F^{2} d Q+\int_{Q} \frac{1}{\beta^{2}} v^{2} d Q$.
By equality (24), we see that
$\int_{Q}\left(v_{x}^{2}+\int_{Q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) v^{2}\right) d Q-\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \int_{Q} v^{2} d Q \leq \beta^{2} \int_{Q} F^{2} d Q\right.$.
Moreover, we apply the Rellich-Poincare inequality to the first term on the left side of inequality (25). Since

$$
\int_{Q} v_{x}^{2} d Q \geq C \int_{Q} v^{2} d Q, \quad(C=\operatorname{diam} Q)
$$

and by taking
$C \int_{Q} v^{2} d Q+\int_{Q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) v^{2}\right) d Q-\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \int_{Q} v^{2} d Q \leq \beta^{2} \int_{Q} F^{2} d Q$,
$\left(C-\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\right) \int_{Q} v^{2} d Q+\int_{Q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) v^{2}\right) d Q \leq \beta^{2} \int_{Q} F^{2} d Q$,
we have
$C_{1} \int_{Q} v^{2} d Q+\int_{Q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) v^{2}\right) d Q \leq \beta^{2} \int_{Q} F^{2} d Q$,
where $C_{1}=C-\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}>0$. Inequality (26) is an a priori estimate which we look for.

We apply the Galerkin method to problem (22)-(23). Let the functions $w_{1}(x, y), w_{2}(x, y), \ldots, w_{n}(x, y), \ldots$ be linearly independent and complete system of functions in $L^{2}(Q)$.

We assume that $w_{i}(x, y)=0$ on $\partial Q(i=1,2, \ldots)$ and $w_{i}(x, y) \in C^{2}(\bar{Q})$. There exists a system $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ such that
$u_{N}(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} w_{i}(x, y)$.
Since $\left.w_{i}\right|_{\partial Q}=0$, then we have $\left.u_{N}\right|_{\partial Q}=0$. Now, let us obtain the function $u_{N}(x, y)$ from the system of equations
$\left\langle u_{N_{x x}}+\Delta_{y} u_{N}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N}, w_{j}(x, y)\right\rangle=\left\langle F(x, \bar{y}), w_{j}(x, y)\right\rangle$,
$\left\langle u_{N_{x x}}+\Delta_{y} u_{N}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N}-F, w_{j}(x, y)\right\rangle=0, j=\overline{1, N}$.
We will show that $u_{N}$ which is the solution of system (27) converges to the exact solution of problem (22)-(23) when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then we can write
$\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(c_{i} w_{i}\right)_{x x}+\Delta_{y} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} w_{i}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(c_{i} w_{i}\right)_{x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} w_{i}-F, w_{j}(x, y)\right\rangle=0, j=\overline{1, N}$.
We will prove the homogeneous system
$\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(c_{i} w_{i}\right)_{x x}+\Delta_{y} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} w_{i}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(c_{i} w_{i}\right)_{x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} w_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle=0, j=\overline{1, N}$
has only the zero solution. If we multiply the $j$ th equation of system (29) by $-c_{j}$ and add from 1 to $N$, we obtain
$\left\langle u_{N_{x x}}+\Delta_{y} u_{N}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N},-u_{N}\right\rangle=0$.
Then, from equality (17), we have
$\int_{Q}\left(u_{N x}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{N y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) u_{N}^{2}\right) d Q=0$.
In this case, $u_{N}=0$ in the domain $Q$ and therefore, we write
$\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} w_{i}(x, y)=0$.
Since $w_{i}(x, y)$ is linearly independent, we have $c_{i}=0,(i=1,2, \ldots, N)$. Thus, the homogeneous system (28) has only zero solution. Therefore, there is only one solution for every $F(x, y)$ of system (28).

Now, we take an a priori estimate for $u_{N}(x, y)$. For this, if we multiply the $j$ th equation of system (28) by $-c_{j}$ and add from 1 to $N$, we obtain
$\left\langle u_{N_{x x}}+\Delta_{y} u_{N}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N}-F,-u_{N}\right\rangle=0$,
$\left\langle u_{N_{x x}}+\Delta_{y} u_{N}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N},-u_{N}\right\rangle=\left\langle F,-u_{N}\right\rangle$.
By inequality (26), we see that
$C_{1} \int_{Q} u_{N}^{2} d Q+\int_{Q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{N y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) u_{N}^{2}\right) d Q \leq \beta^{2} \int_{Q} F^{2} d Q$,
$C_{1} \int_{Q} u_{N}^{2} d Q \leq \beta^{2} \int_{Q} F^{2} d Q$
and
$\int_{Q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{N y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) u_{N}^{2}\right) d Q \leq \beta^{2} \int_{Q} F^{2} d Q$.
Since the right sides of inequalities (31) and (32) are independent of N , there is a constant $C_{2}$ independent of N such that
$\int_{Q} u_{N}^{2} d Q \leq C_{2}$.
Similarly, we get
$\left.\int_{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{N y_{i}}^{2} d Q \leq C_{2}, \int_{Q}\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) u_{N}^{2}\right) d Q \leq C_{2}$.
Then, the sequence $\left\{u_{N}\right\}$ is bounded in the Hilbert space $H_{0}^{1}(Q)$. Since a bounded set in a Hilbert space is weakly compact, there is a weakly convergent subsequence of $\left\{u_{N}\right\}$. Let this subsequence be $\left\{u_{N}\right\}$ for the sake of simplicity. We can write system (28) in the form
$\left\langle u_{N_{x x}}+\Delta_{y} u_{N}+\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N x}-\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N}, w_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle F, w_{j}\right\rangle, j=\overline{1, N}$.
By using the equalities
$\Delta_{y} u_{N} \cdot w_{j}=\int_{Q} \Delta_{y} u_{N} w_{j} d Q=\int_{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{N y_{i} y_{i}} w_{j} d Q$,

```
\(u_{N_{x x}} \cdot w_{j}=\left(u_{N_{x}} w_{j}\right)_{x}-u_{N x} w_{j x}\),
\(u_{N y_{i} y_{i}} \cdot w_{j}=\left(u_{N y_{i}} w_{j}\right)_{y_{i}}-u_{N y_{i}} w_{j y_{i}}\),
\(\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N x} \cdot w_{j}=\left(\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j}\right)_{x}-\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j x}-\frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j}\),
\(\left\langle u_{N_{x x}}, w_{j}\right\rangle=-\int_{Q} u_{N_{x}} w_{j x} d Q\),
\(\left\langle\Delta_{y} u_{N}, w_{j}\right\rangle=-\int_{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{N y_{i}} w_{j y_{i}} d Q\),
\(\left\langle\frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N x}, w_{j}\right\rangle=-\int_{Q} \frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j x} d Q-\int_{Q} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j} d Q\)
in (35), we obtain
\(-\int_{Q} u_{N x} w_{j x} d Q-\int_{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{N y_{i}} w_{j y_{i}} d Q-\int_{Q} \frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j x} d Q\)
\(-\int_{Q} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j} d Q-\int_{Q} \frac{k}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j x} d Q-\int_{Q} \frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})} u_{N} w_{j} d Q\)
\(=\int_{Q} F w_{j} d Q\).
```

$$
H_{0}^{1}(Q)
$$

Taking the limit for $N \rightarrow \infty$, in the sense of the generalized function ( $u_{N} \xrightarrow{H_{0}^{1}(Q)}$ v converges), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle v, P^{*} w_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle F, w_{j}\right\rangle \\
& \quad \text { or } \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left\langle P v-F, w_{j}\right\rangle=0$.
Since the system $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ in (37) is complete in $L^{2}(Q)$, we can write
$P v-F=0$.
Then, $v$ is a solution to equation (22). By $u_{N} \xrightarrow{H_{0}^{1}(Q)}$ v and $\left.u_{N}\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=0$, we have $\left.u\right|_{\sigma_{0}}=0$. Thus, problem
(22)-(23) has a generalized solution in the space $H_{0}^{1}(Q)$.

Finally, by (32), we have
$\int_{Q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{y_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{b(x, \bar{y})}{a(x, \bar{y})}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a^{\prime}(x, \bar{y})}\right) v^{2}\right) d Q \leq \beta^{2} \int_{Q} F^{2} d Q$,
as $N \rightarrow \infty$ which show that the solution depends continuously on the data.

## Conclusion

In this study, we deal with an inverse problem for an elliptic equation. We prove the uniqueness, existence and stability of the solution of the problem. Our main tools are Fredholm Alternative Theorem and Galerkin method.
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