

# Chen-like Inequalities on Submanifolds of Cosymplectic 3-Space Forms

Mehmet GÜLBAHAR<sup>1</sup>, Esra ERKAN<sup>2,\*</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Harran University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 63300, Şanlıurfa, Türkiye mehmetgulbahar@harran.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0001-6950-7633
 <sup>2</sup>Harran University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 63300, Şanlıurfa, Türkiye esraerkan@harran.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0003-0456-6418

| Received: 18.01.2023 | Accepted: 02.12.2023 | <b>Published:</b> 31.12.2023 |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|

## Abstract

In this paper, some equalities and inequalities involving the Riemannian curvature invariants are obtained on 3-semi slant submanifolds of cosymplectic 3-space forms. Obtained relations for 3-semi slant submanifolds are examined on 3-slant, invariant, and totally real submanifolds.

Keywords: Curvature; Submanifold; Cosymplectic 3-Space Form.

# Kosimplektik 3-Uzay Formlarının Altmanifoldları Üzerinde Chen-tipi Eşitsizlikler

# Öz

Bu çalışmada kosimplektik 3-uzay formlarının 3-semi slant altmanifoldları üzerine Riemann eğrilik invaryantları içeren bazı eşitlik ve eşitsizlikler elde edilmiştir. 3-semi slant alt manifoldlar için elde edilen bağıntılar, 3-slant, invaryant ve total reel altmanifoldlar üzerinde incelenmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Eğrilik; Altmanifold; Kosimplektik 3-Uzay Form.

\* Corresponding Author

DOI: 10.37094/adyujsci.1238940



#### 1. Introduction

The concept of contact 3 – manifolds was originated by Y. Kuo [1] and C. Udrişte [2], independently. With the introduction of this concept, some classifications of contact 3 – manifolds were presented by many authors. For mathematical and physical applications of contact 3 – manifolds, we refer to [3-9], etc.

After the definition of Chen's slant submanifolds (cf. [10]), the problem of studying the geometry of slant submanifolds attracted a lot of attention. From this viewpoint, these submanifolds of almost contact metric 3 – manifolds were investigated by Malek and Balgeshir in [11, 12].

In the submanifold theory, the problem of finding basic relationships between curvature invariants is one of the most basic and interesting problems. In order to compare the curvature invariants of a Riemannian manifold and its submanifold, several inequalities were established by Chen [13-16], etc. Later, this problem has been studied by many authors in various submanifolds [17-24], etc.

In the first section of this study, some main formulas and notations for a Riemannian manifold and its submanifolds are expressed. In the second section, the definitions of contact 3- manifolds and their submanifolds are given. An example of 3- semi-slant submanifolds is presented. In the third section, some relations involving Ricci curvatures of cosymplectic 3- space forms and their 3- semi-slant, 3- slant, invariant, and totally real submanifolds are examined. In the fourth section, some relations involving scalar curvatures and sectional curvatures of cosymplectic 3- space forms and their 3

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$  be a *m*-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The sectional curvature of  $\Pi = \text{Span}\{Y, Z\}$  is formulated by

$$\tilde{K}(Y \wedge Z) = \frac{\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(Y,Z)Z,Y)}{\tilde{g}(Y,Y)\tilde{g}(Z,Z) - \tilde{g}(Y,Z)^2},$$

where  $\tilde{R}$  is the Riemannian curvature tensor field of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_p \tilde{M}$  at  $p \in \tilde{M}$ . The Ricci curvature for  $e_l, l \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  is formulated by

$$\tilde{R}ic(e_l) = \sum_{j \neq l}^m \tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j)$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

and the scalar curvature at a point  $p \in \tilde{M}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}(p) = \sum_{1 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus j \le m} \tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Let  $\Pi_n$  be an n-dimensional subsection of  $T_p \tilde{M}$ . If n = m,  $\Pi_m = T_p \tilde{M}$ . Let us choose an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$  of  $\Pi_n$ . Then n-Ricci curvature of  $e_t$ ,  $t \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , is formulated by

$$\tilde{R}ic_{\Pi_n}(e_t) = \sum_{j \neq t}^n \tilde{K}(e_t \wedge e_j)$$

(3)

and *n* – scalar curvature of  $\Pi_n$  is formulated by

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\Pi_n}(p) = \sum_{1 \in \mathbb{N}^{d} < j \le n} \tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j).$$
(4)

We note that if n = m, then  $\tilde{R}ic_{\Pi_n}(e_t) = \tilde{R}ic_{T_p\tilde{M}}(e_t)$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_{\Pi_n}(p) = \tilde{\tau}_{T_p\tilde{M}}(p)$ .

Assume that (M,g) is a k-dimensional submanifold of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ . The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are formulated by

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\nabla_X Y = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N,\tag{6}$$

where  $X, Y \in T_pM$ , N is a unit normal vector,  $\nabla_X Y, A_N X \in T_pM$  and  $\sigma(X, Y), \nabla_X^{\perp} N \in T_p^{\perp}M$ . Here,  $\sigma$  is the second fundamental form,  $A_N$  is the shape operator and  $\nabla^{\perp}$  is the normal connection of M. It is well known that  $\sigma$  is associated to  $A_N$  by the following formula:

$$\tilde{g}(\sigma(X,Y),N) = g(A_N X,Y).$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of M by R. The Gauss equation is formulated by

$$g(R(X,Y)Z,W) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z,W) + \tilde{g}(\sigma(X,W),\sigma(Y,Z)) - \tilde{g}(\sigma(X,Z),\sigma(Y,W))$$
(8)  
for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in T_pM$ .

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_pM$ . The main curvature vector field  $\hbar$  is formulated by

$$\hbar = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sigma(e_l, e_l).$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

*M* is said to be totally geodesic if  $\sigma = 0$ , and it is said to be minimal if  $\hbar = 0$ . *M* is totally umbilical if and only if  $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\hbar$  is satisfied for all  $X, Y \in T_pM$ .

Let  $\{e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_m\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_p^{\perp}M$  and  $e_s$  belongs to  $\{e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_m\}$ . Denote the intrinsic sectional curvature by  $K(e_l \wedge e_j)$ . In view of (8), if we put

$$\sigma_{lj}^{s} = \tilde{g}(\sigma(e_{l}, e_{j}), e_{s}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left\|\sigma\right\|^{2} = \sum_{l,j=1}^{k} \tilde{g}(\sigma(e_{l}, e_{j}), \sigma(e_{l}, e_{j})), \qquad (10)$$

then we find

$$K(e_l \wedge e_j) = \tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j) + \sum_{s=k+1}^m \left(\sigma_{ll}^s \sigma_{jj}^s - (\sigma_{lj}^s)^2\right).$$
(11)

From (11), it follows that

$$2\tau(p) = 2\tilde{\tau}\left(T_{p}M\right) + n^{2}\left\|\hbar\right\|^{2} - \left\|\sigma\right\|^{2},$$
(12)

where

$$\tilde{\tau}\left(T_{p}M\right) = \sum_{1 \le l < j \le k} \tilde{K}_{lj}$$

.

Moreover, there exists the following relation:

$$\|\sigma\|^{2} = \frac{1}{2}k^{2} \|\hbar\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=k+1}^{m} (\sigma_{11}^{r} - \sigma_{22}^{r} - \dots - \sigma_{kk}^{s})^{2} + 2\sum_{s=k+1}^{m} \sum_{j=2}^{k} (\sigma_{1j}^{s})^{2} - 2\sum_{s=k+1}^{m} \sum_{2 \le l < j \le k} (\sigma_{ll}^{s} \sigma_{jj}^{s} - (\sigma_{lj}^{s})^{2}).$$
(13)

For the basic concepts dealing with Riemannian manifolds, we refer to [16].

The relative null space at a point p in M is given by [14]

$$N_p = \left\{ X \in T_p M \middle| \sigma(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in T_p M \right\}.$$
(14)

We note that  $N_p$  is also said to be the kernel of  $\sigma$  at p [25].

The Chen invariant  $\delta_{M}$  for a Riemannian submanifold M is formulated by [26]

$$\delta_{M}(p) = \tau(p) - \inf(K)(p), \tag{15}$$

where  $\inf(K)(p) = \inf\{K(\Pi) : \Pi \text{ is a plane}\}.$ 

### 3. Submanifolds of Contact 3-Space Forms

**Definition 1.** [1] A differentiable manifold  $\tilde{M}$  admitting an almost contact 3 – structure  $(\xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$  is said to be an almost contact 3 – structure manifold. An almost contact 3 – structure manifold is denoted by  $(\tilde{M}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ .

For  $(\tilde{M}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ , the following relations hold:

$$\varphi_l \,\xi_j = -\varphi_j \xi_l = \xi_n, \quad \eta_l \varphi_j = -\eta_j \varphi_l = \eta_n, \quad \eta_l \xi_j = 0 \tag{16}$$

and

$$\varphi_l \circ \varphi_j - \eta_j \otimes \xi_l = -\varphi_j \circ \varphi_l + \eta_l \otimes \xi_j = \varphi_n, \tag{17}$$

where (l, j, n) is a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3). If  $(\tilde{M}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1, 2, 3\}}$  includes a Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}$  given by

$$\tilde{g}(\varphi_l Y, \varphi_l Z) = \tilde{g}(Y, Z) - \eta_l(Y)\eta_l(Z)$$
(18)

for any  $Y, Z \in T_p \tilde{M}$ , then  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$  is said to be an almost contact metric 3structure manifold. From the Eq. (18), we have

$$\tilde{g}(\varphi_l Y, Z) = -\tilde{g}(Y, \varphi_l Z). \tag{19}$$

 $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$  is called a cosymplectic 3 – manifold if

$$\tilde{\nabla}\varphi_l = 0 \tag{20}$$

is satisfied. It is said to be a Sasakian 3-manifold if

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y}\varphi_{l})Z = \tilde{g}(Y,Z)\xi_{l} - \eta_{l}(Z)Y$$
<sup>(21)</sup>

is provided.

In a similar manner to the concept of holomorphic sectional curvature on Hermitian or contact metric manifolds, we can state the concept of  $\varphi_l$  – holomorphic sectional curvature on  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$  in such a way:

**Definition 2.** [11] A plane  $\Pi$  is said to be a  $\varphi_l$  – section if there exists a unit vector  $X \in T_p \tilde{M}$  orthogonal to  $\xi_l$ , where  $\{X, \varphi_l X\}$  is an orthonormal basis on  $\Pi$  for some  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . The  $\varphi_l$  – holomorphic sectional curvature of a  $\varphi_l$  – section is defined by

$$\tilde{K}(X \wedge \varphi_l X) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, \varphi_l X)\varphi_l X, X).$$

A cosymplectic 3-manifold  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$  becomes a cosymplectic 3-space form if it is of constant  $\varphi_l$  -holomorphic sectional curvature c. A cosymplectic 3-space form is shown by  $\tilde{M}(c)$ .

If  $\tilde{M}(c)$  is a cosymplectic 3 – space form, then the Riemannian curvature is satisfied the following relation [1]:

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = \frac{c}{4} \{g(X,W)g(Y,Z) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [g(X,\varphi_n W)g(Y,\varphi_n Z) - g(X,\varphi_n Z)g(Y,\varphi_n W) - 2g(X,\varphi_n Y)g(Z,\varphi_n W) - g(X,W)\eta_n(Y)\eta_n(Z) + g(X,Z)\eta_n(Y)\eta_n(W) - g(Y,Z)\eta_n(X)\eta_n(W) + g(Y,W)\eta_n(X)\eta_n(Z)],$$

(22)

for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in \tilde{M}$ .

Assume that (M, g) is a k – dimensional submanifold of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ . For any vector field X in  $T_p M$ , we can write  $\varphi_l X$  as follows:

$$\varphi_l X = P_l X + F_l X \,, \tag{23}$$

where  $P_l X \in T_p M$  and  $F_l X \in T_p^{\perp} M$  for  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

We can express the following:

$$\|P_l\|^2 = \sum_{j,n=1}^k g(P_l e_j, e_n)^2$$
(24)

and

$$\left\|P_{l}X\right\|^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{k} g(P_{l}X, e_{n})^{2}.$$
(25)

(M,g) is said to be invariant if  $F_l = 0$  and it is said to be totally real if  $P_l = 0$  for each  $l \in \{1,2,3\}$ . Furthermore, (M,g) becomes 3-slant if for each  $l \in \{1,2,3\}$ , the angle  $\theta$  between  $\varphi_l X$  and the tangent space  $T_p M$  is constant for every p in M and every  $X \neq 0$  which is not linearly dependent by  $\xi_l$  [12].

We remark that a 3-slant submanifold becomes invariant when  $\theta = 0$  and it becomes totally real if  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Furthermore, the following classification could be stated:

**Definition 3.** [12] A submanifold (M, g) is said to be a 3-semi-slant submanifold if we have three orthogonal distributions  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_3$ , where  $D_3 = \text{Span} \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$  and the following cases occur:

- i)  $TM = D_1 \oplus D_2 \oplus D_3$ ,
- ii)  $\varphi_i(\mathbf{D}_1) \subset \mathbf{D}_1, \forall l \in \{1, 2, 3\},\$
- iii)  $D_2$  is 3-slant with  $\theta \neq 0$ .

It is clear that (M,g) is 3-slant if  $D_1 = 0$  and it becomes an invariant submanifold if  $\theta = 0$ .

**Example 1.** Let us consider 11 - dimensional Euclidean space E<sup>11</sup>. If we define

$$\varphi_1((x_i)_{i \in \{1,\dots,11\}}) = (-x_2, x_1, -x_3, x_4, -x_7, -x_8, x_5, x_6, 0, -x_{11}, x_{10})$$
  

$$\varphi_2((x_i)_{i \in \{1,\dots,11\}}) = (-x_4, -x_3, x_1, x_2, -x_7, -x_8, x_5, x_6, x_{11}, 0, x_9),$$
  

$$\varphi_2((xi)_{i \in \{1,\dots,11\}}) = (x_2, -x_1, x_3, -x_4, -x_7, -x_8, x_5, x_6, -x_{10}, x_9, 0)$$

such that  $\xi_1 = \partial x_9$ ,  $\xi_2 = \partial x_{10}$ ,  $\xi_3 = \partial x_{11}$  and  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\eta_3$  are duals of  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$ , respectively. We find  $(E^{11}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$  is an almost contact 3 – structure manifold.

Let us define the following submanifold of  $(E^{11}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ :

$$M = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5 \cos\alpha, u_5 \sin\alpha, u_6 \cos\beta, u_6 \sin\beta, u_7, u_8, u_9)\},\$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . In this case, we obtain

$$\begin{split} Y_1 &= \partial x_1, \quad Y_2 = \partial x_2, \quad Y_3 = \partial x_3, \quad Y_4 = \partial x_4, \\ Y_5 &= \cos\alpha \ \partial x_5 + \sin\alpha \ \partial x_6, \quad Y_6 = \cos\beta \ \partial x_7 + \sin\beta \ \partial x_8, \\ \xi_1 &= \partial x_9, \quad \xi_2 = \partial x_{10}, \quad \xi_3 = \partial x_{11} \end{split}$$

and

$$N_1 = -\sin\alpha \,\partial x_5 + \cos\alpha \,\partial x_6, \quad N_2 = -\sin\beta \,\partial x_7 + \cos\beta \,\partial x_8,$$

where  $T_p M = \text{Span}\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5, Y_6, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ ,  $T_p^{\perp} M = \text{Span}\{N_1, N_2\}$  and  $\{\partial x_1, ..., \partial x_{11}\}$ is the natural basis of  $E^{11}$ . If we put  $D_1 = \text{Span}\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4\}$ ,  $D_2 = \text{Span}\{Y_5, Y_6\}$  and  $D_3 = \text{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ , then *M* becomes 3 – semi invariant with  $\theta = |\alpha - \beta|$ .

## 4. Inequalities Involving Ricci Curvatures

Let us indicate the set of all unit vectors in  $T_p M$  by  $T_p^1 M$ .

**Theorem 1.** [27] Let M be a k-dimensional submanifold of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ . The following cases hold:

i) For any 
$$X \in T_p^1 M$$
, we get  
 $\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq \frac{1}{4} k^2 \|\hbar\|^2 + \tilde{R} i c_{T_p M}(X).$ 
(26)

Here  $\tilde{R}ic_{T_pM}(X)$  is the k-Ricci curvature of  $X \in T_p^1M$ .

ii) The equality case of (26) occurs for  $X \in T_p^1 M$  if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(X,Z) = 0, & \text{for each } Z \perp X, \\ 2\sigma(X,X) = k\hbar(p). \end{cases}$$

iii) The equality case of (26) occurs for each  $X \in T_p^{-1}M$  if and only if either p is a totally geodesic point or p is a totally umbilical point for k = 2.

From Theorem 1, we can state:

**Corollary 1.** [28] For any Riemannian submanifold, any two of the below three cases refer to the other one:

- i) X satisfies the equality case of (26).
- ii)  $\hbar(p) = 0$ .
- iii)  $X \in N_p$ .

Now, we assume that  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$  is tangent to M and  $X \in T_p^1 M$  throughout this paper.

**Lemma 1.** For any k – dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . We find

$$\tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [3g(P_n e_l, e_j)^2 - \eta_n^2(e_j) - \eta_n^2(e_l)] \right\},$$
(27)

$$\tilde{R}ic_{T_{pM}}(X) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ (n-4) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [3\|P_nX\|^2 + (2-k)\eta_n^2(X)] \right\},$$
(28)

$$\tilde{\tau}_{T_{p^{M}}}(p) = \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3\sum_{n=1}^{3} \|P_{n}\|^{2} \right\}.$$
(29)

Proof. From (22), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_{l},e_{j})e_{j},e_{l}) &= \frac{c}{4} \Big\{ g(e_{l},e_{l})g(e_{j},e_{j}) - g(e_{l},e_{j})g(e_{j},e_{l}) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{3} \Big[ g(e_{l},\varphi_{n}e_{l})g(e_{j},\varphi_{n}e_{j}) - g(e_{l},\varphi_{n}e_{j})g(e_{j},\varphi_{n}e_{l}) \\ &- 2g(e_{l},\varphi_{n}e_{j})g(e_{j},\varphi_{n}e_{l}) - g(e_{l},e_{l})\eta_{n}(e_{j})\eta_{n}(e_{j}) \\ &+ g(e_{l},e_{j})\eta_{n}(e_{j})\eta_{n}(e_{l}) - g(e_{j},e_{j})\eta_{n}(e_{l})\eta_{n}(e_{l}) \\ &+ g(e_{j},e_{l})\eta_{n}(e_{l})\eta_{n}(e_{j}) \Big] \Big\}, \end{split}$$

which is equivalent to (27). In view of (1) and (27), we find

$$\tilde{R}ic_{T_{pM}}(e_{1}) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ (k-1) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left[ 3\sum_{j=1}^{k} g(P_{n}e_{1},e_{j})^{2} + (2-k)\sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta_{n}^{2}(e_{1}) \right] \right\}.$$

Putting  $e_1 = X$  and using (25) in the last equation, we obtain (28). From (2) and (28), we get

$$\tilde{\tau}_{T_{pM}}(p) = \frac{c}{8} \left\{ k(k-4) + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left[ 3 \|P_n e_l\|^2 + (2-k)\eta_n^2(e_l) \right] \right\}.$$

Considering (24) in the last equation, we obtain (29).

In view of Theorem 1 and (28), we obtain

**Theorem 2.** For any k – dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , we have the following cases:

i) For any  $X \in T_p^1 M$ , we get

$$Ric(X) \le \frac{1}{4}k^{2} \left\|\hbar\right\|^{2} + \frac{c}{4}\left\{(k-4) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left[3\left\|P_{n}X\right\|^{2} + (2-k)\eta_{n}^{2}(X)\right]\right\}.$$
(30)

ii) The equality case of (30) occurs for  $X \in T_p^1 M$  if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(X,Z) = 0, & \text{for each } Z \perp X, \\ \sigma(X,X) = \frac{k}{2}\hbar(p). \end{cases}$$

iii) The equality case of (30) occurs for each  $X \in T_p^1 M$  if and only if p is a totally geodesic point.

From Theorem 2, we immediately have

**Corollary 3.** For k – dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , any two of the below three cases refer to the other one:

- i) X satisfies the equality case of (30).
- ii)  $\hbar(p) = 0$ .
- iii)  $X \in N_p$ .

**Definition 4.** Let D be a distribution on M.

i) If  $\sigma(X,Z) = 0$  is satisfied for all  $X, Z \in D$ , then M is said to be D-geodesic.

ii) If there exists a smooth function  $\lambda$  on M satisfying  $\sigma(X,Z) = \lambda g(X,Z)$  for each  $X, Z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then M is called  $\mathbb{D}$ -umbilical.

**Theorem 3.** For any k – dimensional 3 – semi-slant submanifold, the following cases occur:

i) For every unit  $X \in D_1$ , we get

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X) \le \frac{1}{4}k^2 \left\|\hbar\right\|^2 + \frac{c}{4}(k+5).$$
(31)

ii) The equality case of (31) is true for each  $X \in D_1$  at  $p \in M$  if and only if M is  $D_1$  – geodesic.

iii) For every unit  $Y \in D_2$ , we get

$$\operatorname{Ric}(Y) \le \frac{1}{4}k^2 \left\|\hbar\right\|^2 + \frac{c}{4}\left\{(k-4) + 9\cos^2\theta\right\}.$$
(32)

iv) The equality case of (32) is true for all  $X \in D_2$  at  $p \in M$  if and only if M is  $D_2$  – geodesic.

**Proof.** If  $X \in \mathbf{D}_1$ , we obtain

$$||P_n X||^2 = 1$$
,  $\eta_n(X) = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_n(e_j) = 3$ .

Using these facts in (28), we obtain (31). The equality case of (31) occurs for each  $X \in D_1$  if and only if  $\sigma(X,Z) = 0$  for all  $X, Z \in D_1$ . This implies that M is  $D_1$ -geodesic.

If X belongs to  $D_1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \|P_n X\|^2 = 3\cos^2 \theta, \ \eta_n(X) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta_n(e_j) = 3.$$

Using these facts in (29), we obtain (32). The equality case of (32) occurs for each  $Y \in D_2$  if and only if  $\sigma(Y, Z) = 0$  for all  $Y, Z \in D_2$ . This implies that M is  $D_2$ -geodesic.

In view of Theorem 3, we find

**Theorem 4.** For any k – dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , we find the following cases:

i) For the Ricci tensor S of M, we have the following table:

| <u>ี</u> ไ.ค | bl | a 1• |
|--------------|----|------|
| 1 a          | UI | τι.  |

|     | M         | Inequality                                                                                                 |
|-----|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) | 3 – slant | $S \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}k^{2} \ \hbar\ ^{2} + \frac{c}{4}\left\{(k-4) + 9\cos^{2}\theta\right\}\right)g.$ |
| (2) | invariant | $S \le \left(\frac{1}{4}k^{2} \left\ \hbar\right\ ^{2} + \frac{c}{4}(k+5)\right)g.$                        |

| (3) | totally real | $S \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}k^2 \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{4}(k-1)\right)g.$ |
|-----|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
|-----|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|

ii) The equality case of (1) - (3) occurs if and only if M is a totally geodesic submanifold.

## 5. Inequalities Involving Scalar Curvatures

**Lemma 2.** [29] If  $a_1, \ldots, a_k (k > 1)$  are real numbers, then

$$\frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{l} \right)^{2} \le \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{l}^{2}$$
(33)

is satisfied. The equality case of (33) occurs if and only if  $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_k$ .

**Theorem 5.** For any k – dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Then

$$\tau(p) \le \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3\sum_{n=1}^3 \|P_n\|^2 \right\}$$
(34)

is satisfied. The equality case of (34) is true for p in M if and only if p is a totally umbilical point.

**Proof.** Assume that  $e_{k+1}$  is parallel to  $\hbar(p)$  and  $e_1, \ldots, e_k$  diagonalize  $A_{e_{k+1}}$ . In this case, we can write

$$A_{e_{k+1}} = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_{11}^{k+1}, \sigma_{22}^{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_{kk}^{k+1})$$
(35)

and

$$A_{e_s} = \left(\sigma_{l_j}^s\right), \quad \text{trace} A_{e_s} = \sum_{l=1}^k \sigma_{ll}^s = 0 \tag{36}$$

for each l, j = 1, ..., k and s = k + 2, ..., m. From (12), (35) and (36), we get

$$2\tau(p) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3\sum_{n=1}^{3} \|P_n\|^2 \right\} + k^2 \|\hbar\|^2 - \sum_{l=1}^{k} (\sigma_{ll}^{k+1})^2 - \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{l,j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^s)^2.$$
(37)

Considering Lemma 2, we arrive at

$$k \left\| \hbar \right\|^{2} \le \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left( \sigma_{ll}^{k+1} \right)^{2}.$$
(38)

From (37) and (38), the eq. (34) could be obtained. If the equality situation of (34) occurs, from Lemma 2, we find

$$\sigma_{11}^{k+1} = \sigma_{22}^{k+1} = \dots = \sigma_{kk}^{k+1} \text{ and } A_{e_s} = 0.$$

The last equation implies that p is a totally umbilical point. The other direction of proof is easy to follow.

For any k-dimensional 3-semi-slant submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , we put dim $D_1 = s_1$ , dim $D_2 = s_2$  and  $k = s_1 + s_2 + 3$ . Then, we have the following:

**Theorem 6.** For any k – dimensional 3 – semi-slant submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , we find

$$\tau(p) \le \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{(k-1)(k-6) + 9(s_1 + 2 + s_2 \cos^2 \theta)\}.$$
(39)

The equality case of (39) is true for p in M if and only if p is a totally umbilical point.

**Proof.** If M is 3 – semi-slant, it can be found

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \left\| P_n \right\|^2 = 3s_1 + 6 + 3s_2 \cos^2 \theta \,. \tag{40}$$

Considering (40) in Theorem 5, the proof is easy to follow.

As a result of Theorem 6, we also have the following:

**Corollary 4.** For any k – dimensional submanifold M of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ ,

i) we have the following table:

Table 2:

|     | М            | Inequality                                                                                               |
|-----|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) | 3 – slant    | $\tau(p) \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{(k-1)(k-6) + 9((s_1+s_2)\cos^2\theta + 2)\}.$ |
| (2) | invariant    | $\tau(p) \le \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{(k-1)(k+3)\}.$                                 |
| (3) | totally real | $\tau(p) \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{k^2 - 7k + 24\}.$                             |

ii) the equality case of (1)-(3) for each case is satisfied if and only if p is a totally umbilical point.

**Proof.** If M is 3- slant, then it can be obtained

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \left\| P_n \right\|^2 = 3(s_1 + s_2) \cos^2 \theta + 6.$$
(41)

Putting (41) in (34), we get the first case of Table 2.

Consider the fact that  $\varphi_l \xi_j = \xi_n$ , if *M* is invariant, then we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \left\| P_n \right\|^2 = 3(s_1 + s_2) + 6 = 3(k-1).$$
(42)

Putting (42) in (34), we get the second case of Table 2.

Considering the fact that  $\varphi_l \xi_j = \xi_n$ , if *M* is totally real, then we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \left\| P_n \right\|^2 = 6.$$
(43)

Putting (43) in (34), we get the third case of Table 2.

The proof of ii) is easy to follow from Theorem 6.

**Theorem 7.** For any k – dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , we have

$$\tau(p) \le \frac{1}{2}k^2 \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3\sum_{n=1}^3 \|P_n\|^2 \right\}.$$
(44)

The equality case of (44) occurs for p in M if and only if p is a totally geodesic point.

**Proof.** The proof is easy to follow by (12) and (29).

As a result of Theorem 7, we find the following:

**Corollary 5.** For any k – dimensional 3 – semi-slant submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , we have

$$\tau(p) \le \frac{1}{2}k^2 \left\|\hbar\right\|^2 + \frac{c}{8}\left\{(k-1)(k-6) + 9(s_1 + 2 + s_2\cos^2\theta)\right\}.$$
(45)

The equality case of (45) occurs for p in M if and only if p is a totally geodesic point.

**Corollary 6.** For any k – dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ ,

i) we have the following table:

Table 3:

|     | M            | Inequality                                                                                            |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) | 3 – slant    | $\tau(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}k^2 \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8}\{(k-1)(k-6) + 9((s_1+s_2)\cos^2\theta + 2)\}.$ |
| (2) | invariant    | $\tau(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}k^2 \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8}\{(k-1)(k+3)\}.$                                |
| (3) | totally real | $\tau(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}k^2 \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8}\{k^2 - 7k + 24\}.$                             |

ii) The equality case of (1)-(3) occurs if and only if p is a totally geodesic point.

We need the following lemma for later uses:

**Lemma 3.** Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \ (k > 2)$  be real numbers satisfying

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{l}\right)^{2} = \left(k-1\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{l}^{2} + a\right).$$
(46)

Then

$$2a_1a_2 \ge a_1a_2$$

is satisfied if and only if we find

$$a_1 + a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_k$$

Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis and  $\Pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ . We define

$$\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\pi^{\perp}}\right\|^{2} = \sum_{j,t=3}^{k} g(P_{n}e_{t},e_{j})^{2}.$$
(47)

Then we have

**Theorem 8.** Let M be a k-dimensional  $(k \ge 3)$  submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Then, for each point  $p \in M$  and each  $\varphi_l$ -plane section  $\Pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$  such that  $\varphi_l e_1 = e_2$ , we have

$$\tau(p) - K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \le \frac{k^2 (k-2)}{2(k-1)} \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k^2 - 7k + 4) + 3 \|P_n\|_{\pi^{\perp}} \|^2 \right\}.$$
(48)

The equality case (48) occurs at p in M if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{k+1}, \dots, e_m\}$  of  $T_p^{\perp}M$  such that the shape operators  $A_{e_s}$  take the following forms:

$$A_{e_{k+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a+b)I_{k-2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(49)

$$A_{e_{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{s} & d_{s} & 0\\ d_{s} & -c_{s} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0_{k-2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad s \in \{k+2, \dots, m\}.$$
(50)

**Proof.** Assume that  $\hbar(p)$  is in the direction of  $e_{k+1}$  and  $e_1, \ldots, e_k$  diagonalize  $A_{e_{k+1}}$ . In this case,  $A_{e_s}$  take the forms (35) and (36). Thus, we can write

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sigma_{ll}^{k+1}\right)^{2} = \left(k-1\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} (\sigma_{ll}^{k+1})^{2} + \sum_{l\neq j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^{k+1})^{2} + \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{l,j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^{s})^{2} + \omega\right)$$
(51)

such that

$$\omega = 2\tau(p) - \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3 \|P_n\|^2 \right\} - \frac{k^2(k-2)}{k-1} \|\hbar\|^2.$$
(52)

Applying Lemma 3 to (51), we find

$$2\sigma_{11}^{k+1}\sigma_{22}^{k+1} \ge \omega + \sum_{l\neq j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^{k+1})^2 + \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{l,j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^s)^2.$$
(53)

Using (53) in (27), it also follows that

$$K(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}) \geq \frac{c}{4} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [3g(\varphi_{n}e_{1}, e_{2})^{2} - \eta_{n}^{2}(e_{1}) - \eta_{n}^{2}(e_{2})] \right\}$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{2}\omega + \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{j>2} \{(\sigma_{1j}^{s})^{2} + (\sigma_{2j}^{s})^{2}\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} (\sigma_{11}^{s} + \sigma_{22}^{s})^{2}$   
+  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{l,j>2} (\sigma_{lj}^{s})^{2}$  (54)

or we have

$$K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \ge \frac{c}{4} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [3g(\varphi_n e_1, e_2)^2 - \eta_n^2(e_1) - \eta_n^2(e_2)] \right\} + \frac{1}{2}\omega.$$
(55)

In view of (52) and (55), we get (48).

If the equality case of (48) occurs, then we find

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{1j}^{k+1} = \sigma_{2j}^{k+1} = 0, & j = n+1, \dots, k, \\ \sigma_{lj}^{s} = 0, & l, j = n+1, \dots, k, \\ \sigma_{11}^{s} + \sigma_{22}^{s} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(56)

for s = k + 2, ..., m. From Lemma 3, it can be found

$$\sigma_{11}^{k+1} + \sigma_{22}^{k+1} = \sigma_{33}^{k+1} = \dots = \sigma_{kk}^{k+1},$$
(57)

which shows that  $A_{e_s}$  becomes as in (49) and (50).

In view of Theorem 8, we get

**Corollary 7.** Let M be a k – dimensional 3 – semi-slant submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . For each  $\varphi_l$  – plane section  $\Pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ , we have

$$\tau(p) - K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \le \frac{k^2 (k-2)}{2(k-1)} \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{k^2 - 7k + 14 + 9(s_1 + s_2 \cos^2 \theta)\}.$$
(58)

The equality case of (58) is satisfied if and only if  $A_{e_s}$  becomes as in (49) and (50).

Proof. Under this assumption, we find

$$\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\pi^{\perp}}\left\|^{2} = 3(s_{1} + s_{2}\cos^{2}\theta).$$
<sup>(59)</sup>

Using (59) in (48), the proof could be obtained.

**Corollary 8.** Let M be a k – dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}(c)$  and  $\Pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$  be a  $\varphi_l$  – section.

i) We get the below table:

#### Table 4:

|     | M            | Inequality                                                                                              |
|-----|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) | invariant    | $\tau(p) - K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \le \frac{k^2 (k-2)}{2(k-1)} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{k^2 + 2k - 15\}$  |
| (2) | totally real | $\tau(p) - K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \le \frac{k^2 (k-2)}{2(k-1)} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{4} \{k^2 - 7k + 32\}.$ |

ii) The equality case of (1)-(2) is satisfied if and only if  $A_{e_s}$  becomes as in (49) and (50).

**Proof.** Assume that M is invariant. In this case, we find

$$\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\pi^{\perp}}\right\|^{2} = 3(s_{1} + s_{2}) = 3(k - 3).$$
(60)

Using (60) in (48), we obtain the first case of Table 4.

If M is totally real, then we have

$$\left\|P_n\right\|_{\pi^{\perp}}\right\|^2 = 6.$$
(61)

Using (61) in (48), we obtain the second case of Table 4.

The proof of ii) is straightforward from Theorem 8.

#### References

[1] Kuo, Y., *On almost contact 3-structure*, Tôhoku Mathematical Journal (2) 22, 325–332, 1970.

[2] Udriște, C., *Structure presque coquaternioniennes*, Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de la République Socialiste de Roumanie, Nouvelle Série, 13, 487–507, 1969.

[3] Balgeshir, M.B.K., *Point-wise slant submanifolds in almost contact geometry*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 40, 657-664, 2006.

[4] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K., 3-Sasakian manifolds, arXiv preprint hep-th/9810250, 1998.

[5] Galicki, K., Salamon, S., *Betti numbers of 3-Sasakian manifold*, Geometriae Dedicata, 63, 45-68, 1996.

[6] Gibbons, G.W., Rychenkova, P., Cones, tri-Sasakian structures and superconformal invariance, Physics Letters B, 443, 138-142, 1998.

[7] Kashiwada, T., On a contact 3-structure, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 238, 829-832, 2001.

[8] Mann, B., Galicki, K., Boyer, C.P., *The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds*, Journal für die. reine und angewandte Mathematik, 455, 183-220, 1994.

[9] Montano, B. C., De Nicola, A., *3-Sasakian manifolds, 3-cosymplectic manifolds and Darboux theorem*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 57, 2509-2520, 2007.

[10] Chen, B.-Y., Geometry of slant submanifolds, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 1990.

[11] Malek, F., Balgeshir, M.B.K., *Slant submanifolds of almost contact metric 3-structure manifolds*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 10, 1023-1033, 2013.

[12] Malek, F., Balgeshir, M.B.K., *Semi-slant and bi-slant submanifolds of almost contact metric 3-structure manifolds*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 37, 1030-1039, 2013.

[13] Chen B.-Y., *Mean curvature and shape operator of isometric immersions in real space forms*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, 38, 87-97, 1996.

[14] Chen B.-Y., *Relations between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, 41, 33-41, 1999.

[15] Chen B.-Y., *Riemannian DNA, inequalities and their applications*, Tamkang Journal of Science and Engineering, 3, 123-130, 2000.

[16] Chen B.-Y., *Pseudo-Riemannian geometry*,  $\delta$ -invariants and applications, World Scientific Publishing, 2011.

[17] Akgün, A., Gülbahar, M., *Bi-slant submanifolds of an S manifold*, Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 2021.

[18] Li, Y., Khatri, M., Singh, J.P., Chaubey, S.K., *Improved Chen's inequalities for submanifolds of generalized Sasakian-space-forms*, Axioms, 11, 324, 2022.

[19] Özgür, C., Chen inequalities for submanifolds of a cosymplectic space form with a semi-symmetric metric connection, Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii Al. İ. Cuza Din İasi-Serie Noua-Matematica, 58, 2012.

[20] Poyraz, N.Ö., *Chen inequalities on spacelike hypersurfaces of a GRW spacetime*, Differential Geometry and its Applications, 81, 101863, 2022.

[21] Poyraz, N.Ö., Doğan, B., Yaşar, E., *Chen inequalities on lightlike hypersurface of a Lorentzian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection*, International Electronic Journal of Geometry, 10, 1-14, 2017.

[22] Slesar, V., Şahin, B., Vilcu, G.E., *Inequalities for the Casorati curvatures of slant submanifolds in quaternionic space forms*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2014, 1-10, 2014.

[23] Siddiqui, A.N., Chen, B.Y., Bahadir, O., *Statistical solitons and inequalities for statistical warped product submanifolds*. Mathematics, 7, 797, 2019.

[24] Tripathi, M.M., Gülbahar, M., Kılıç, E., Keleş, S., *Inequalities for scalar curvature of pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 112, 74-84, 2017.

[25] Chen, B.-Y., On Ricci curvature of isotropic and Langrangian submanifolds in complex space forms, Archiv der Mathematik, 74, 154-160, 2000.

[26] Chen B.-Y., A Riemannian invariant for submanifolds in space forms and its applications in: Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, 6, 58-81, 1994.

[27] Hong, S., Tripathi, M. M., *On Ricci curvature of submanifolds*, International Journal Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences, 2, 227-245, 2005.

[28] Hong, S., Matsumoto, K., Tripathi, M.M., *Certain basic inequalities for submanifolds of locally conformal Kaehler space forms*, Sut Journal of Mathematics, 41, 75-94, 2005.

[29] Tripathi, M.M., Certain basic inequalities for submanifolds in  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -spaces, In: Recent Advances in Riemannian and Lorentzian Geometries (Baltimore, MD, 2003), Contemporary Mathematics 337, American Mathematical Society, 187–202, 2003.