LIMNOFISH-Journal of Limnology and Freshwater Fisheries Research 1(2): 83-88 (2015)



Four New Records for the Freshwater Algae of Turkey

Memet VAROL^{1,*}, Karolina FUCIKOVA²

¹ Inonu University, Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Basic Aquatic Sciences, Malatya, Turkey

² University of Connecticut, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Storrs, CT, USA

ABSTRACT

Despite the fact that planktonic algae are a critical component of freshwater ecosystems, our understanding of their diversity and species distributions is still rather poor. This study contributes new information to the knowledge of Turkish freshwater microflora. A total of 84 phytoplankton samples were collected from 7 sites in the Tigris River from February 2008 to January 2009. Four taxa representing new records for the freshwater algal flora of Turkey were identified from the river. They belong to the following phyla: 1 (*Oscillatoria nitida*) to Cyanoprokaryota, 2 (*Micractinium bornhemiense* and *Lagerheimia wratislaviensis*) to Chlorophyta and 1 (*Audouinella chalybaea*) to Rhodophyta.

Keywords: Freshwater algal flora, new records, Tigris River, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Received	: 23.05.2015	间状状间		
Revised	: 25.07.2015			
Accepted	: 12.08.2015	INC. HELL		
Published	: 28.08.2015			
DOI: 10.17216/LimnoFish-5000119624				

* CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

mvarol23@gmail.com *Tel* : + 90 422 846 12 65

Türkiye Tatlısu Algleri için Dört Yeni Kayıt

Öz: Planktonik algler, tatlısu ekosistemlerinin önemli bir bileşeni olmasına rağmen, onların çeşitliliği ve tür dağılımları hakkındaki bilgimiz hala oldukça zayıftır. Bu çalışma, Türkiye'nin tatlısu mikroflora bilgisine katkıda bulunmaktadır. Şubat 2008 – Ocak 2009 tarihleri arasında Dicle Nehri'nde 7 siteden toplam 84 fitoplankton örneği toplandı. Nehirde, Türkiye tatlısu algleri için dört yeni tür teşhis edildi. Türlerden 1'i (*Oscillatoria nitida*) Cyanoprokaryota, 2'si (*Micractinium bornhemiense* ve *Lagerheimia wratislaviensis*) Chlorophyta ve 1'i (*Audouinella chalybaea*) Rhodophyta şubelerine aitti.

Anahtar kelimeler: Tatlısu algal flora, yeni kayıtlar, Dicle Nehri, Türkiye

How to Cite

Varol M, Fucikova K.2015. Four New Records for the Freshwater Algae of Turkey. LimnoFish. 1(2):83-88. doi: 10.17216/LimnoFish-5000119624

Introduction

Algae are the primary producers in aquatic ecosystems and they form the base of the aquatic food chain. Changes in the structure and the productivity of the algal community may induce direct structural changes in the rest of the ecosystem and/or indirectly affect the ecosystem by affecting water quality (Nyholm and Peterson 1997). Therefore, these aquatic organisms are also often used for water quality monitoring.

Our understanding of algal diversity and species distribution is still very poor in many regions of the world, and even in areas with extensive microfloristic records, most of such works are several decades old. Understandably, newer microfloristic studies tend to focus on previously unexplored localities and habitats (e.g., table mountains, desert and other soils – Kaštovský et al. 2011; Patzelt et al. 2014; Sherwood et al. 2015, respectively). However, when historically surveyed regions are revisited or new localities are added, new records are often found, further demonstrating that our knowledge still needs much improvement (e.g., Johansen et al. 2007; Fučíková et al. 2015). New and continuing surveys are especially important because of the changing and often deteriorating character of many habitats affected by human activities.

The number of algological studies in Turkish inland waters have seen a remarkable increase in recent years (Gül et al. 2013). According to Aysel (2005), 2030 taxa of freshwater algae had been recorded in Turkey. Since that year, new records of freshwater algae have been continuously added (Şahin 2007, 2009; Baykal et al. 2009; Sevindik et al. 2010, 2011; Özer et al. 2012; Akar and Şahin 2014; Yüce and Ertan 2014). The Tigris is one of Turkey's most important rivers but its algal flora is still poorly known. Özer et al. (2012) investigated for the first time the algae of the Tigris Basin andidentified 25 taxa new for Turkey in the samples collected from different habitats (plankton, epipelon, epiphyton, and epilithon) in the basin between December 2004 and November 2005. Varol and Şen (2014) reported that a total of 390 taxa were identified in the phytoplankton samples the Tigris River at seven sampling stations between February 2008 and January 2009. In the present study, four taxa from the Tigris River are reported for the first time in Turkish freshwaters.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The Tigris River is one of the largest rivers in Turkey with a catchment area of approximately 57.614 km². The Tigris River originates in the Toros Mountains of Eastern Anatolia. It follows a southeastern route to Cizre, where it forms the border between Turkey and Syria, and then runs a further 32 km before entering Iraq. Of its ca. 1900 km total length, 523 km is within Turkey (Varol et al., 2013). The Batman, Garzan, Botan and Hezil streams are major tributaries of the Tigris River in Turkey. Currently, there are two major dams on the Tigris River: the Kralkızı and the Dicle. The Kralkızı Dam is used for hydro-electric energy production, as is Dicle Dam in addition to irrigation and supplying drinking water for the city of Diyarbakır (Bekleyen et al. 2011).

Maximum flow in the Tigris River occurs from February through April, and minimum flow from August through October. The river discharge varies considerably at different locations, showing an increasing trend towards its downstream stretches due to inputs from its tributaries. Mean annual flow is 28.3 m³/s at Diyarbakır (upstream) and 211.8 m³/s at Cizre (downstream) (Varol et al. 2012).

The Tigris River basin has a subtropical plateau climate, with mean annual temperature of 14.6 °C at Maden, and 21.8 °C at Cizre. Total annual precipitation ranges from 294.1 mm at Cizre (downstream) to 611.1 mm at Maden (upstream), of which 82% occurs from October to April (Varol 2011).

Sampling and identification

Figure 1 shows the phytoplankton sampling sites. The samples were collected from seven sites (Maden (site 1), Eğil (site 2), Diyarbakır (site 3), Bismil (site 4), Batman (site 5), Hasankeyf (site 6) and Cizre (site 7)) at monthly intervals between February 2008 and January 2009. The samples were taken with a plankton net (55 µm mesh), preserved in the field with 4% formaldehyde solution, and examined with an Olympus BX51 microscope fitted with Olympus **DP71** digital camera an (Olympus, Japan). Taxa were identified according to John et al. (2011), Kim and Kim (2012), Komarek (2005) and Anagnostidis and Eloranta and Kwandrans (2012). The currently accepted checked nomenclature was with AlgaeBase (Guiry and Guiry, 2015).

Results

Four taxa new for the freshwater algae of Turkey were identified during our study (Table 1). General information on the morphology and taxonomy of the taxa presented in this paper is given below.

Phylum	: Cyanoprokaryota		
Class	: Cyanophyceae Schaffner		
Subclass	: Oscillatoriophycideae L. Hoffmann,		
J. Komárek	& J. Kastovsky		
Order	: Oscillatoriales Cavalier-Smith		
Family	: Oscillatoriaceae Engler		
Genus	: Oscillatoria Vaucher ex Gomont		
Species	: Oscillatoria nitida Schkorbatov 1923		
(Figure 2a,	b)		
References	Komarek and Anagnostidis (2005) .		
Description	n : Trichomes solitary, usually		
free-floatin	g, cylindrical, not constricted at		
11			

cross-walls, with very fine sheaths, greenish, 4-9 μ m wide. Ends of terminal parts of trichomes straight, not attenuated. Cells always shorter than wide, 1.5-3 μ m long, with homogeneous cell content, without granulation at distinct, transparent cross-walls; apical cells rounded, without thickened outer cell walls.

Distribution: Romania (Carauş 2012).

Occurrence:Collected at sites 4 (Bismil) and 6 (Hasankeyf).



Figure 1. Map showing the sampling sites along the Tigris River.

Phylum	Cyanobacteria	Chlor	rophyta	Rhodophyta
Class	Cyanophyceae	Treboux	iophyceae	Florideophyceae
Order	Oscillatoriales	Chlor	rellales	Acrochaetiales
Genus	Oscillatoria	Micractinium	Lagerheimia	Audouinella
Species	O. nitida	M. bornhemiense	L. wratislaviensis	A. chalybaea

Table 1. The names of four new taxa.

Phylum : Chlorophyta

Class : Trebouxiophyceae Friedl

Order : Chlorellales Bold & M. J. Wynne

Family : Chlorellaceae Brunnthaler

Genus : *Micractinium*_Fresenius

Species : *Micractinium bornhemiense*

(W. Conrad) Korshikov 1953 (Figure 3a)

References : John et al. (2011), Kim and Kim (2012).

Basionym : Errerella bornhemiensis W. Conrad

Homotypic Synonym: Errerella bornhemiensis W. Conrad 1914

Description :Coenobia in regular tetrads, usually producing regular pyramidal syncoenobia, often 8-16-32-64-128 celled; cells spherical, 6-8 μ m in diameter, bearing a single spine 30-60 μ m long.

Distribution: Romania (Carauş 2012), North America (Prescott 1962), Taiwan (Shao 2009), Britain (John et al. 2011), Germany (Tauscher 2014), China (Hu and Wei 2006), Korea (Kim and Kim 2012), Russian Far East (Medvedeva and Nikulina 2014), Singapore (Pham et al. 2011), New Zealand (Broady et al. 2012), Brazil (Menezes 2010). **Occurrence:**Collected at site-6 (Hasankeyf).



Figure 2. a, b- Oscillatoria nitida Schkorbatov

Phylum : Chlorophyta

Class : Trebouxiophyceae Friedl

Order : Chlorellales Bold & M. J. Wynne

Family : Oocystaceae Bohlin

Genus : Lagerheimia R. Chodat

Species : *Lagerheimia wratislaviensis* Schröder 1897 (Figure 3b)

References : John et al. (2011).

Homotypic Synonym: Bernardia wratislavensis (Schröder) Playfair 1917

Heterotypic **Synonyms:** Lagerheimia wratislawiensis var. trisetigera G.M.Smith 1926, Chodatella *budapestinensis* var. trisetigera G.M.Smith 1926, Lagerheimia wratislaviensis f. F.Caballero brevispina 1945. Lagerheimia wratislaviensis f. Hortobágyi gracilis 1973. Chodatella budapestinensis Hortobágyi 1973

Description :Cells 2.5-11 μ m wide, 5-14 μ m long, ovoid, somewhat narrowed towards apices, with a single spine at each apex and 2-3 opposite each other at equator, arranged crosswise in one plane; spines 8-31 μ m long.

Distribution: Germany (Tauscher 2014), Romania (Carauş 2012), Spain (Trevino et al. 2009), Britain (John et al. 2011), Russian Far East (Medvedeva and Nikulina 2014), New South Wales (Day et al. 1995)

Occurrence : Collected at site-4 (Bismil).



Figure 3. a- *Micractinium bornhemiense* (W.Conrad) Korshikov, b- *Lagerheimia wratislaviensis* Schröder

Phylum	: Rhodophyta
Subphylum	: Eurhodophytina
Class	: Florideophyceae Cronquist
Subclass	: Nemaliophycidae T. Christensen
Order	: Acrochaetiales Feldmann
Family	: Acrochaetiaceae Fritsch ex W. R.
Taylor	
Genus	: Audouinella Bory de Saint-Vincent
Species	: Audouinella chalybaea (Roth) Bory de
Saint-Vincer	nt 1823 (Figure 4a-b)
References	: Eloranta and Kwandrans (2012).
Basionym	: Conferva chalybaea Roth
Homotypic	Synonym: Conferva chalybaed
Roth 180	6, <i>Ectocarpus chalybeus</i> (Roth)
Lyngbye 1	819, Chantransia chalybea (Roth)
Fries 1825,	Pseudochantransia chalybaea (Roth)
Brand 1909	

Heterotypic Synonym: Trentepohlia aeruginosa C.Agardh 1824, Trentepohlia pulchella f. chalybea C.Agardh 1824

Description :Cells with blue-coloured chloroplasts; narrow branch angles, cell length/ width ratio > 3, main filament < 13 μ m wide, monospores 9-12 μ m long.

Note : A. hermannii is distinguished from A. chalybaea by having a reddish colour.

Distribution: Germany (Tauscher 2014), Romania (Carauş 2012), Slovenia (Vrhovsek et al. 2006), China (Hu and Wei 2006), Russian Far East (Medvedeva and Nikulina 2014).

Occurrence: Collected at sites 4 (Bismil) and 6 (Hasankeyf).



а

Figure 4. a, b- Audouinella chalybaea (Roth) Bory de Saint-Vincent

Discussion

Global biodiversity is facing a major threat brought on by human action: climate change and destruction habitat and degradation have a worldwide impact. Continuing, systematic species surveys are critical for detecting possible decline of sensitive species. Unfortunately, our knowledge of microscopic biodiversity lags far behind the amount of information available about macroscopic flora and With respect to freshwater fauna. algae. comprehensive floristic literatures are available for some European countries and North America and are often specific to a particular algal group such as desmids or diatoms (e.g., Coesel and Meesters 2007; Siver and Hamilton 2011).

The Turkish algal checklist now contains well over 2000 species, but is likely far from complete (Sevindik et al. 2010). In the phytoplankton samples taken from the Tigris River, we recognized four taxa, which represented first records for the freshwater algal flora of Turkey. Most of the taxa found in the

River are well known, Tigris and only Oscillatoria nitida is rarely reported worldwide (Komarek and Anagnostidis 2005). This study is offered as a contribution toward characterizing Turkey's freshwater algae and complements a more comprehensive list published in Varol and Sen (2014).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Scientific & Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK, project no. 107Y216). We thank Pertti Eloranta for confirming the identification of A. chalybaea.

References

Akar B, Şahin B. 2014. New desmid records of Karagöl Lake in Karagöl-Sahara National Park (Şavşat-Artvin/Turkey). Turk J Fish Aquat Sc. 14(1):269-274. doi: 10.4194/1303-2712-v14_1_29

- Aysel V. 2005. Check-list of the freshwater algae of Turkey. J Black Sea/Medit Environ. 11(1):1-124.
- Baykal T, Akbulut A, Açıkgöz İ, Udoh AU, Yıldız K, Şen B. 2009. New records for the freshwater algae of Turkey. Turk J Bot. 33(2):141-152. doi: 10.3906/bot-0705-10
- Bekleyen A, Gokot B, Varol M. 2011. Thirty-four new records and the diversity of the Rotifera in the Turkish part of the Tigris River watershed, with remarks on biogeographically interesting taxa. Sci Res Essays. 6(30):6270-6284. doi: 10.5897/SRE11.355
- Broady PA, Flint EA, Nelson WA, Cassie Coope V, De Winton MD, Novis PM. 2012. Phylum Chlorophyta and Charophyta: green algae. In: Gordon DP, editor. New Zealand inventory of biodiversity. Volume Three. Kingdoms Bacteria, Protozoa, Chromista, Plantae, Fungi. Christchurch: Canterbury University Press. p. 347-381.
- Carauş I. 2012. Algae of Romania. A distributional checklist of actual algae. Version 2.3. Third revision. Bacau: Bacau University 809 p.
- Coesel PFM, Meesters KJ. 2007. Desmids of the lowlands: Mesotaeniaceae and Desmidaceae of the European Lowlands. Zeist: KNNV Publishing 352 p.
- Day SA, Wickham RP, Entwisle TJ, Tyler PA. 1995. Bibliographic check-list of non-marine algae in Australia. Canberra: Australian Biological Resources Study 276 p.
- Eloranta P, Kwandrans J. 2012. Illustrated guidebook to common freshwater red algae. Krakow: W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences 49 p.
- Fučíková K, Johansen JR, Hall JD. 2015. A contribution to the desmid flora of Southeastern Maine.
 J Torrey Bot Soc. 142(2):166-178. doi: 0.3159/TORREY-D-14-00046.1
- Guiry MD, Guiry GM. 2015. AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication. National University of Ireland, Galway [cited 2015 May 21]. Available from http://www.algaebase.org
- Gül Ö, Düşen O, Erduğan H. 2013. The preliminary search results of cyanobacteria flora of thermal areas in Sarayköy (Denizli), Turkey. Paper presented at: Digital Proceeding of THE ICOEST'2013; Nevsehir, Turkey.
- Hu H, Wei Y. 2006. The freshwater algae of China. Systematics, Taxonomy and Ecology. Bejjing: Science Press 1023 p.
- Johansen JR, Lowe RL, Carty S, Fučiková K, Olsen CE, Fitzpatrick MH, Ress JA, Furey PC. 2007. New algal species records for Great Smoky Mountains National Park, with an annotated checklist of all reported algal taxa for the park. Southeast Nat. 6:99-134. doi: 10.1656/1528-7092(2007)6[99:NASRFG]2.0.CO;2
- John DM, Whitton BA, Brook AJ. 2011. The freshwater algal flora of the British Isles. An identification guide to freshwater and terrestrial algae. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 896 p.
- Kaštovský J, Fučíková K, Hauer T, Bohunická M. 2011. Microvegetation on the top of Mt. Roraima, Venezuela. Fottea, 11(1):171-186.
- Kim JK, Kim HS. 2012. Algal flora of Korea. Volume 6, Number 2. Chlorophyta: Chlorophyceae:

Chlorococcales I: Micractiniaceae, Botryococcaceae, Characiaceae, Hydrodictyaceae. Incheon: National Institute of Biological Resources 117 p.

- Komarek J, Anagnostidis K. 2005. Cyanoprokaryota: 2. Teil/2nd Part: Oscillatoriales. In: Büdel B, Gartner G, Krienitz L, Schagerl M, editors. Süsswasserflora von Mitteleuropa Band/Volume19/2. Heidelberg: Spektrum Akademischer Verlag. p. 1-759.
- Medvedeva LA, Nikulina TV. 2014. Catalogue of freshwater algae of the southern part of the Russian Far East. Vladivostok: Dalnauka 271 p.
- Menezes, M. 2010. Chlorophyceae. In: Forzza RC, editor. Catálogo de plantas e fungos do Brasil. Vol. 1. Rio de Janeiro: Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro. p. 335-352.
- Nyholm N, Peterson HG. 1997. Laboratory bioassays with microalgae. In: Wang W, Gorsuch JW, Hughes JS, editors. Plants for environmental studies. Florida: Lewis Publishers. p. 226-276.
- Özer TB, Erkaya İA, Udoh AU, Akbulut A, Yıldız K, Şen B. 2012. New records for the freshwater algae of Turkey (Tigris Basin). Turk J Bot. 36(6):747-760. doi: 10.3906/bot-1108-16
- Patzelt DJ, Hodač L, Friedl T, Pietrasiak N, Johansen JR. 2014. Biodiversity of soil cyanobacteria in the hyperarid Atacama Desert, Chile. J Phycol. 50(4):698-710. doi: 10.1111/jpy.12196
- Pham MN, Tan HTW, Mitrovic S, Yeo HHT. 2011. A checklist of the algae of Singapore. Singpore: Raffles Museum of Biodiversity Research, National University of Singapore 99 p.
- Prescott GW. 1962. Algae of the Western Great Lakes area. Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Company Publishers 977 p.
- Şahin B. 2007. Two new records for the freshwater algae of Turkey. Turk J Bot. 31(2):153-156.
- Şahin B. 2009. Contribution to the desmid flora of Turkey. Turk J Bot. 33(6):457-460.
- Sevindik TO, Çelik K, Gönülol A. 2010. Twenty-four new records for the freshwater algae of Turkey. Turk J Bot. 34(3):249-259. doi: 10.3906/bot-0906-56
- Sevindik TO, Çelik K, Gönülol A. 2011. Twenty new records for Turkish freshwater algal flora from Çaygören and İkizcetepeler reservoirs (Balıkesir, Turkey). Turk J Fish Aquat Sc. 11(3):399-406. doi: 10.4194/1303-2712-v11_3_09
- Shao KT. 2009. Catalogue of life in Taiwan. Web electronic publication. [cited 2015 May 26]. Available from http://taibnet.sinica.edu.tw/home_eng.php
- Sherwood AR, Carlile AL, Vaccarino MA, Johansen JR. 2015. Characterization of Hawaiian freshwater and terrestrial cyanobacteria reveals high diversity and numerous putative endemics: Hawaiian non-marine cyanobacteria. Phycol Res. 63(2):85-92. doi: 10.1111/pre.12080
- Siver PA, Hamilton PB. 2011. Diatoms of North America. The freshwater flora of waterbodies on the Atlantic Coastal Plain. Iconographia Diatomologica 22: 1-923.
- Tauscher L. 2014. Checkliste der Algen (Cyanobacteria et Phycophyta). In: Frank D, Neumann V,

editors. Bestandssituation der Pflanzen und Tiere in Sachsen-Anhalt. Rangsdorf. [in German]

- Trevino IF, Gonzalez AC, Castillo PMS. 2009. Catálogo de las algas verdes cocales de las aguas continentales de Andalucía. Acta Bot Malacit 34:1-22 [in Spanish]
- Varol M. 2011. Assessment of heavy metal contamination in sediments of the Tigris River (Turkey) using pollution indices and multivariate statistical techniques. J Hazard Mater. 195:355–364. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.08.051
- Varol M, Gökot B, Bekleyen A, Şen B. 2012. Water quality assessment and apportionment of pollution sources of Tigris River (Turkey) using multivariate statistical techniques - a

case study. River Res App. 28(9):1428-1438. doi: 10.1002/rra.1533

- Varol M, Gokot B, Bekleyen A. 2013. Dissolved heavy metals in the Tigris River (Turkey): spatial and temporal variations. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 20(9):6096–6108. doi: 10.1007/s11356-013-1627-8
- Varol M, Şen B. 2014. Flora of the planktonic algae of the Tigris River. J FisheriesSciences.com. 8(4):252-264. doi: 10.3153/jfscom.201431
- Vrhovsek D, Kosi G, Klemencic AK, Smolar-Zvanut N. 2006. Monograph on freshwater and terrestrial algae in Slovenia. Ljubljana: Zalozba ZRC. 172 p.
- Yüce AM, Ertan ÖO. 2014. A new record for the freshwater algae of Turkey. Sci Res J. 2(4):21-22